Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,856	BRANTS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KIMBERLY LOVEL	2167	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate comm (GHTS). This application is and MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due cours	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 37</u> .			
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>	been received. been received in Application	on No	om the
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EX	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTIC	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		w ( PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		•	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	.84(c)) should be written on t	he drawings in the front (not the back	) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	ERIAL must be submitted. Note t	he
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No. 7. ⊠ Examiner's	oformal Patent Application ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date <u>20090508</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowand	e

Art Unit: 2167

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the Appeal Brief filed 2 February 2009.

2. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 2 February 2009, PROSECUTION IS

HEREBY REOPENED.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/John R. Cottingham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

3. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 37 are currently pending. As a result of the claims filed 6 November 2008 and the Examiner's Amendment stated below, claims 1-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 37 (renumbered as 1-14) are allowed and claims 8, 12, 16-36, 38 and 39 are cancelled.

Art Unit: 2167

### Examiner Amendment

4. Authorization for this examiner's amendment, listed below, was given in a telephone interview with Mark Svat (Reg. No. 34,261) on 7-8 May 2009.

## In the Claim:

Please amend claims 1, 13 and 14 as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method of detecting new events comprising the steps of:

determining at least one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story characteristic and a same event-same source story characteristic;

determining a source-identified story corpus, each story associated with at least one event;

determining a source-identified new story associated with at least one event;

determining story-pairs based on the source-identified new-story and each story in the source-identified story corpus;

determining at least one inter-story similarity metric for the story-pairs; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model[[;]] and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights; and wherein an event frequency is determined based on term *t* and <u>rule of interpretation</u>

Art Unit: 2167

(ROI) category rmax from the formula:  $\underline{ef_{r \max}(t) = \frac{\max}{r \in R}} (\underline{ef(r,t)}) \underline{ef_{r \max}(t) = \max_{r \in R} (\underline{ef(r,t)})}$ 

wherein r is an ROI category, R is the set of all possible ROIs, ef(rt) is the frequency of term t in ROI category r;

determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and

outputting a new story event indicator if the event associated with the new story is similar of a determined similarity or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments.

13. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method of detecting new events comprising the steps of:

determining at least one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story characteristic and a same event-same source story characteristic;

determining a source-identified story corpus, each story associated with at least one event;

determining a source-identified new story associated with at least one event;

determining story-pairs based on the source-identified new-story and each story in the source-identified story corpus;

determining at least one inter-story similarity metric for the story-pairs; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model[[;]] and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights;

Art Unit: 2167

and wherein an inverse event frequency is determined based on term t, and events e and rmax in the set of <u>rule of interpretation (ROI)</u> categories from the formula:

$$IEF(t) = \log \left[ \frac{N_{e,r \text{max}}}{ef_{r \text{max}}(t)} \right]$$
 wherein  $N_e$  rmax is the number of events in ROI max;

determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and

outputting a new story event indicator if the event associated with the new story is similar of a determined similarity or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments.

14. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method of detecting new events comprising the steps of:

determining at least one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story characteristic and a same event-same source story characteristic;

determining a source-identified story corpus, each story associated with at least one event;

determining a source-identified new story associated with at least one event;

determining story-pairs based on the source-identified new-story and each story in the source-identified story corpus;

determining at least one inter-story similarity metric for the story-pairs; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model[[;]] and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights;

Art Unit: 2167

and wherein an inverse event frequency is determined based on term t, categories e, r and rmax in the set of <u>rule of interpretation (ROI)</u> categories and P(r), the probability of

ROI r from the formula: 
$$IEF'(t) = \sum_{r \in R} P(r) \log \left[ \frac{N_{e,r}}{ef(r,t)} \right]$$
 wherein r is an ROI category;

determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and

outputting a new story event indicator if the event associated with the new story is similar of a determined similarity or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments.

### Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In the Examiner's Non-Final Office Action dated 8 October 2008, the claims were rejected under 35 USC 103 based primarily on the article "Topic Detection and Tracking Pilot Study Final Report" by Allan et al; the article "Relevance Models for Topic Detection and Tracking" by Lavrenko et al; and the article "Dynamic Stopwording for Story Link Detection" by Brown.

The claimed invention is directed towards methods of new event detection.

The prior art of record, the article "Topic Detection and Tracking Pilot Study Final Report" by Allan et al; the article "Relevance Models for Topic Detection and Tracking" by Lavrenko et al; and the article "Dynamic Stopwording for Story Link Detection" by Brown, do not show, teach or suggest the combined features of **determining at least** 

Art Unit: 2167

one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story and a same event-same source story characteristic; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights; and wherein an event frequency is determined based on term t and rule of interpretation (ROI) category rmax from the formula:  $ef_{rmax}(t) = \max_{r \in R} (ef(r,t))$ , wherein r is an ROI category, R is the set of all possible ROIs, ef(rt) is the frequency of term t in ROI category r; determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and outputting a new story event indicator if the event associated with the new story is of a determined similarity or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments (in regards to claim 1); determining at least one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story and a same event-same source story characteristic; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights; and wherein an inverse event frequency is determined based on term t, and events e and rmax in the set of rule of interpretation (ROI) categories from the formula:  $IEF(t) = \log \left[ \frac{N_{e,r\text{max}}}{ef_{\text{max}}(t)} \right]$  wherein N<sub>e</sub> rmax is the number of events in ROI max; determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and outputting a new story event

Art Unit: 2167

indicator if the event associated with the new story is of a determined similarity or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments in combination with the other claimed features (in regards to claim 13); and determining at least one story characteristic based on an average story similarity story characteristic and a same event-same source story characteristic; wherein the inter-story similarity metrics are comprised of at least one story frequency model and at least one story characteristic frequency model combined using terms weights; and wherein an inverse event frequency is determined based on term t, categories e, r and rmax in the set of rule of interpretation (ROI) categories and P(r), the probability of ROI r from the formula:  $IEF'(t) = \sum_{r \in R} P(r) \log \left[ \frac{N_{e,r}}{ef(r,t)} \right]$  wherein r is an ROI category; determining at least one adjustment to the inter-story similarity metrics based on at least one story characteristic; and outputting a new story event indicator if the event associated with the new story is of a determined similarity

While the prior art of record teaches the concept of first story detection utilizing similarity metrics, the combination of the art fails to teach the inter-story similarity metrics consisting of a model combined using term weights in combination with each of the formulas disclosed in claims 1, 13 and 14 which incorporate rule of interpretation

or dissimilarity to the events associated with the source-identified story corpus

based on the inter-similarity metrics and adjustments (in regards to claim 14), in

combination with the other claimed elements.

Art Unit: 2167

categories and then adjusting the metrics utilizing story characteristics which are based on an average story similarity story characteristic and a same event-same source story characteristic.

An updated search for prior art on the EAST database and on domains (NPL-Google, IEEE) has been conducted. The prior art searched and investigated in the database and domains does not fairly teach or suggest the teaching of the claimed subject matter as described above and reflected by the combined elements in independent claims 1, 13 and 14. Dependent claims 2-7, 9-11, 15 and 37 are indicated as being allowable for the same reasons stated above in regards to the independent claims.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2167

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY LOVEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kuen S Lu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2156 /Kimberly Lovel/ Examiner Art Unit 2167

8 May 2009 /KL/

/John R. Cottingham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167